

COMPANY LAW BOARD
NEW DELHI BENCH
NEW DELHI

MENTIONING

CP NO. 66(ND)/2016




CA NO.

PRESENT: CHIEF JUSTICE M. M. KUMAR
CHAIRMAN

ATTENDANCE-CUM-ORDER SHEET OF THE HEARING OF NEW DELHI BENCH OF THE
COMPANY LAW BOARD ON 05.05.2016

NAME OF THE COMPANY: **Sh. Rajesh Gupta**
Vs.
M/s. B. R. Agrotech Ltd. & Ors.

SECTION OF THE COMPANIES ACT: 397/398 of Companies Act 1956.

S.NO.	NAME	DESIGNATION	REPRESENTATION	SIGNATURE
1.	K. Satla	Adv.	} Resp 2	{ 
2.	Nayan Agrawal	Adv.		
3.	Rishi IOD	Adv.	Respondents	
4.	Laxmi Gungu	Adv.		
5	U K Chandhary	Adv.	} Petitioners	
6	Arun Kathpalia	Adv.		
7	Ashishesh Bani	Adv.		
8	Ashutosh Gupta	Adv.		



ORDER

64, 65, 66, 67, 68 & 69(ND)/2016 PRINCEP TRADE & FIN v. BRL FINLEASE etc.

Petition mentioned.


A copy of each of the petition has already been served on the respondents.

It has been stated at the outset that the dispute is between the sons and the father and there is every likelihood for resolving the dispute by the process of mediation as pointed by ld. counsel on both the sides. There is also consensus that Mr. Sudhanshu Batra, Ld. Sr. counsel may be appointed as Mediator (Address: 1/121, Safdarjung Enclave, New Delhi. Mob. 9811035392 Email ID: batra.sudhanshu@gmail.com). Both the parties shall assist the Mediator by furnishing any information as desired by the learned Mediator. He shall settle his own fee in consultation with parties.

On behalf of the respondents it has been stated that status quo on shareholding in all the six companies shall be maintained as on today. The Mediator shall explore all possibilities for a global settlement. A copy of this order be sent by e-mail.

List on 15.07.2016 at 10.30 AM.

A copy of this order be placed on the record of each case.


[CHIEF JUSTICE M.M. KUMAR]
CHAIRMAN

Date: 05.05.2016
[ravi]